



IDENTIFICATION OF VULNERABLE STAGE OF MAIZE TO *CHILO PARTELLUS* (SWINHOE)

Maize stem borer, *C. partellus* is an important pest of maize across the world. It also attacks sorghum, millets, rice, sugarcane, pearl millet and other graminaceous grasses. It is an internal stem borer and once larvae enter inside the stem then become difficult to manage with insecticide therefore, efforts are regularly being made to develop the resistance varieties. The developed germplasms are screened against the artificial infestation of maize stem borer after data recorded on LIR. The LIR is totally dependent upon the selection of plant stage for release of either eggs or larvae of *C. partellus*. The release of *C. partellus* in the early stage of plant may transform the plants to dead hearts while delayed releases do not cause significant damage.

So far no systematic work has been done on identification of vulnerable stage of maize plant for release of *C. partellus* and their impact on damage parameters viz., LIR, plant height and yield. Therefore, present investigation was planned to find out the most susceptible stage for artificial release of *C. partellus*.

The proposed investigation was conducted at Instructional Farm of Rajasthan College of Agriculture, Udaipur, during 2011. The test germplasm Pratap Maize-5 were sown on 29th June, 2011 at 60 × 60 and 25 × 25 cm row to row and plant to plant spacing. There were 12 plants in each row and only 10 plants were selected for recording the data. The fifteen neonate larvae were released at 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 19 and 21 days after germination to achieve all categories of leaf injury rating (1–9). One row with three replications were sown for every infestation dose. Thus

thirty plants were taken in consideration for observation of each infestation level. One row was kept control after every infestation row. After one month of release of neonate larvae of maize stem borer leaf injury rating (1–9) was recorded. Further, plant height (cm) up to tassel base and yield were recorded at 14 per cent moisture.

The data on Leaf injury rating (LIR) showed that highest LIR, 9.00, was recorded up to 11 days after germination in T₁, T₂ and T₃ and were statistically at par followed by 8.33, 7.67, 6.67, 4.67 and 3.67 in T₄, T₅, T₆, T₇ and T₈ (13, 15, 17, 19 and 21 DAG) respectively. It is also clear from the table that LIR, 8.33 recorded in T₄ (13 DAG) was at par with T₁, T₂, T₃ and T₅ (7, 9, 11 and 15 DAG) respectively but significantly different from T₆, T₇ and T₈ (17, 19 and 21 DAG). LIR recorded in T₅, T₆, T₇ and T₈ also differ statistically to each other.

It is evident from the data that minimum plant height, 18.53 cm was recorded in T₁ (7 DAG) followed by 19.92, 22.75 and 26.48 cm in T₂, T₃ and T₄ (9, 11 and 13 DAG) but were statistically at par. The plant height recorded in other treatments T₅, T₆, T₇ and T₈ (15, 17, 19 and 21 DAG) were 49.13, 98.82, 139.70 and 160.58 cm respectively and were statistically differ to each other.

The data on yield (g /plant) showed that maximum plant yield, 102.22 g /plant was recorded at 21 days after germination (T₈) followed by 88.39, 54.82 and 26.87 g / plant in T₇, T₆ and T₅ (19, 17 and 15 DAG) respectively and was statistically different to each other. No yield was recorded in the remaining treatments, T₁ to T₅.

Table 1. Effect of plant age on damage parameters caused by *C. partellus* and yield in maize

S.No.	Treatments (DAG)	LIR	Plant height (cm)	Plant yield (g/plant)	Total yield (q/ha)
T ₁	7	9.00	18.53	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)
T ₂	9	9.00	19.92	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)
T ₃	11	9.00	22.75	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)
T ₄	13	8.33	26.48	0.00 (0.71)	0.00 (0.71)
T ₅	15	7.67	49.13	26.87 (5.21)	17.91 (4.29)
T ₆	17	6.67	98.82	54.82 (7.41)	36.54 (6.07)
T ₇	19	4.67	139.70	88.39 (9.42)	58.92 (7.71)
T ₈	21	3.67	160.58	102.22 (10.13)	68.14 (8.28)
SEm±		0.271	5.642	0.221	0.153
CD (P = 0.05)		0.822	17.113	0.670	0.465
CV (%)		6.473	14.587	8.749	7.291

Figures in parentheses are square root transformed values; DAG = Days after germination

The data computed for total yield showed similar trends wherein maximum yield, 68.14 q/ha was achieved in T₈ (21 DAG) followed by 58.92, 36.54 and 17.91 q/ha in T₇, T₆ and T₅ (19, 17 and 15 DAG) respectively and was significantly different to each other. No yield was recorded in remaining test treatments. The data clearly indicates that though release of larvae up to 11 DAG, completely transform the plants to dead hearts but plants are very susceptible to *C. partellus* up to 15 days where no yield could be recorded.

The present findings are in agreement with the work of Sarup *et al.* (1977) who reported that the most critical stage of maize crop is 10 day old plants. Similarly, Maredia and Mihm (1990) also reported that damage caused by southwestern corn borer varies as per the plant stage. They observed that infestation was highest at 4–5 leaf stage and declined with the age of plants at infestation, the decline was less between the 4–5 and 6–8 leaf stage than between the 6–8 and 9–11 leaf stages. They also reported that 6–8 fully extended leaf stage would be the best stage for artificial infestation of southwestern corn borer. Davis *et al.* (1991) observed that degree of resistance or susceptibility in maize against southwestern corn shift with plant growth stage and found low level of resistance when infested in the reproductive stage of growth.

Kumar (1994) of the opinion that artificial infestation by 1st generation of *C. partellus* on maize during the early whorl stage resulted in significantly greater population and more damage than released on anthesis.

Lourencao and Santos (2005) supported the present investigation that maize plants at 8 and 10 leaf stages were more susceptible to *S. frugiperda* with losses of 11.1 to 15.4 per cent respectively. Similarly, Farid *et al.* (2007) also reported that early vegetative stage is most susceptible to the stem borer *C. partellus* and no increase in damage at tasseling. Jindal and Hari (2010) was also of the opinion that artificial release of *C. partellus* in maize up to at 2.5 week after germination resulted in better explanation of

resistance because different components of resistance expressed at this stage.

REFERENCES

- Davis, F. M., Williams, W. P., Ng, S. S. and Videla, G. W. 1991. Growth and survival of south western corn borer on whorl and reproductive stage plants of selected corn hybrids. *Southwestern Entomologist*, **16**: 144–154.
- Farid, A., Khan, M. I. N., Khan, A., Ullah, S., Khattak, K. A. and Sattar, A. 2007. Studies on maize stem borer, *Chilo partellus* in Peshawar valley. *Pakistan Journal of Zoology*, **39**: 127–131.
- Jindal, J. and Hari, N. S. 2010. Resistance in maize to *Chilo partellus* swinhoe (Lepidoptera: Crambidae). *Journal of Entomological Research*, **34**: 29–34.
- Kumar, H. 1994b. Field resistance in maize cultivars to stem borer, *Chilo partellus*. *Annals of Applied Biology*, **124**: 333–339.
- Lourencao, A. L. F. and Santos, H. R. D. 2005. Damage of *Spodoptera frugiperda* (J. E. Smith, 1797) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) on maize crop (*Zea mays* L.). *Revista de Agricultura*, **80**: 340–355.
- Maredia, K. M. and Mihm, J. A. 1990. Damage by southwestern corn borer (*Diatraea grandiosella* Dyar) on resistant and susceptible maize at three plant growth stages in Mexico. *Tropical Pest Management*, **36**: 141–144.
- Sarup, P., Marwaha, K. K., Panwar, V. P. S. and Siddiqui, K. H. 1977. Studies on insect plant relationship evaluation of ‘introduction nursery’ for resistance to the maize stalk borer, *Chilo partellus* (Swinhoe) under artificial infestation. *Journal of Entomological Research*, **1**: 151–157.

Department of Entomology,
Rajasthan College of Agriculture,
MPUAT, Udaipur

R.S. CHOUDHARY
N.K. BAJPAI
HEMANT SWAMI
H.K. JAIN